

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/804,945	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PRIEM, CURTIS R.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas J. Cleary	<b>Art Unit</b> 2111	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 11 August 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/Thomas J. Cleary/  
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2111

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The summary of claimed subject matter refers to Paragraphs 46 and 47 as providing support for the limitations of Claims 1 and 9, but does not disclose where in the paragraphs support for each limitation can be found. The summary of claimed subject matter further refers to Figure 4 as providing support for the limitations of Claim 1, and Figures 2 and 4 as providing support for the limitations of Claim 9, but does not identify, by reference characters, which elements of the drawings correspond to the claim limitation. Further, the Examiner can find no support for the steps of "simultaneously rearranging threads in a single queue" and "ordering all requests from the hardware devices in the single queue" in the portions of the specification and drawings identified by Appellant. The summary of claimed subject matter further makes reference to each claimed step broadly, but does not identify where in the specification or drawings support can be found for the detailed limitations of each step. The grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal identify Claims 1, 3-6, 9-10, and 23 as rejected over Zolnowsky, Browning, and Jones, however, Claims 5-6 were not rejected under this ground of rejection. .